UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX

A good start is half the battle

Non-synaptic interactions in the neural encoding of odorants:

Mario Pannunzi[@], Thomas Nowotny University of Sussex, Brighton, UK [@] m.pannunzi@sussex.ac.uk



Background

- In many insect species, olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) are housed in hair-like sensilla in a stereotypical manner. Each sensillum contains two or more ORNs of different types¹
- ORNs in the same sensillum interact without synaptic

Model

Toy-model of early olfactory area two ORNs interacting via NSI and their projection neurons (PNs)



connection²: Non-synaptic interactions

• Non-synaptic interactions (NSIs): epiphenomenon or functional?



Background A) Pictorial representation of early olfactory areas in insects' brain: receptor neurons and antennal lobe (AL); **B)** Non-synaptic interaction documented for two receptor neurons (ab3A and ab3B) co-housed in the sensillum ab3 in flies².

and local neurons (LNs) in the antennal lobe (AL):

- ORNs: phenomenological model, a first approximation a linear-nonlinear model⁵
- PNs and LNs: Integrate and Fire with adaptation

Results (wip)

For several stimulus input waveforms, the model reproduces qualitatively ORN and PN responses observed experimentally



A

The hypothesis

- Minimal spatio-temporal scales of odor plumes: order of tens of millimetres/milliseconds⁴
- Odourants from the same source travel together in the same filaments, while odourants from separate sources are in separate strands³.
- Insects recognize whether odours are present in a plume and whether or not they belong to the same filaments¹.
- NSIs function: improve spatiotemporal resolution of odour recognition¹





ORN response to a short pulse and a long stimulus

A) ORN response to an infinitely short odorant pulse (Green's function) in comparison to the linear filter in Martelli et *al.*⁶. **B)** Odorant concentration during random odorant pulses. **C)** ORN response to random pulses (B) for the ORN model (in red) proposed in Martelli et al.⁶ and for our model (blue).



Hypothesis A) Strong NSI effect: Single source emits multiple odorants that arrive in close synchronization; B) Weak NSI effect: Separate sources, their concentrations are less correlated.

Acknowledgments

Research funded by: European Union under grant agreement 785907(HBP SGA2); Human Frontiers Science Program, grant RGP0053/2015 (Odor Objects).

ORN input and response, PN response

ORN input and response and PN response to three different stimuli - step, ramp, and parabola - as described by Kim et al. $(2015)^8$.

References

1. Todd J.L., Baker TC. Insect olfaction, 1999; 2. Raiser, G. University of Konstanz, PhD Thesis, 2018; Su C.Y. et al., Nature, 2012; 3. Erskine, A., Dep. of Neurosci, UCL London, 2018; 4. Yee, E., et al., Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 1995; 5. Kim, A.J., et al., Journal of computational neuroscience, 2011; 6. Martelli C., et al., Journal of Neuroscience, 2013; 7. Olsen S.R., et al., Neuron, 2010; 8. Kim, A. J. et al., Elife, 2015.