Precise Spatio-Temporal Spike Patterns in Macaque Motor Cortex during a Reach-to-Grasp Task

Alessandra Stella^{1,3}, Pietro Quaglio^{1,3}, Peter Bouss^{1,3}, Alexa Riehle^{2,1}, Thomas Brochier², Sonja Grün^{1,3}

¹ Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-6, INM-10), Institute for Advanced Simulation (IAS-6) and Jara Brain Institute I (INM-10), Jülich Research Centre

² Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone, UMR 7289, CNRS-AMU, Marseille, France

³ Theoretical Systems Neurobiology, RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Contact: a.stella@fz-juelich.de

Context

Correlated activity between neurons is considered as a signature of the activation of a **cell assembly** [1], [3], thought to be responsible of the information processing in the brain.



Conclusions

We analyzed experimental data with SPADE and detected **numerous significant STPs** occurring in relation to behavior. STPs occurred more frequently during the **movement period** than during the others. Furthermore, the patterns were **specific** to the experimental conditions (different grip and force type combinations) during movement, suggesting that **different assemblies are specifically activated during different behavioral contexts.**

Schematized representation of a cell assembly. Figure from [3].

We suppose that activations of a particular cell assembly are expressed by the repetitive occurrences of **precise spatiotemporal spike patterns** (STP) composed of member neurons of the cell assembly.



Left: Detection of STPs (in orange) among background spiking (in blue). Right: An STP is identified by the neurons spiking within the pattern, by the lags between the spikes, and by its occurrence times.

Methods

SPADE

Spike PAttern Detection and Evaluation

SPADE [5], [6], [10] detects all possible repetitions of spike patterns with a mining technique called **Frequent Itemset Mining**.

 $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ H \\ H \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_A \\ F \\ H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_B \\ F \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

neuron

Results: Spatio-Temporal Patterns in experimental data

Spatio-temporal pattern analysis:

- 4 analyzed sessions (2/monkey)
- 4 trial types (SGHF, SGLF, PGHF, PGLF)
- $ullet \sim 30$ repeated trials per session
- Each trial segmented into 6 task-related epochs (500ms long) concatenated for each trial type and epoch
- Determine significant STPs for the 24 combinations of 6 epochs and 4 trial types (as previously done for synchronous patterns in [8])
- SPADE parameters: binsize = 3ms, window length = 60ms, $\alpha = 0.05$

Results of the analysis:

• Pattern statistics:

- -Significant patterns detected mostly during movement epoch
- -Significant patterns of different sizes (2 6)
- Patterns exhibit a variety of lags
- Pattern occurrences:

Pattern statistics



Spatial arrangement on the Utah Array





Frequent Itemset Mining Figure adapted from [6].

The found and counted patterns are evaluated for their statistical significance by a bootstrap technique (**Pattern Spectrum Filtering**, below) employing the generation of surrogate data by spike dithering [2].



Pattern Spectrum Filtering. Figure adapted from [10]. Experimental Data

Characteristics of the data set [9] analyzed by the method:

- Individual neurons often participate in multiple patterns
- Individual spikes participate in different patterns
- -Patterns have strong overlap of participating neurons
- Pattern rate is different for different grip types, but not for different force types
- Pattern spike alignment:
- Pattern occurrences are equally distributed in time across trials in a single session

Pattern spike alignment raster plot



Count of number of patterns for each electrode in the Utah Array, for each monkey (rows) and trial type (columns).





- pre-/motor cortex of two macaque monkeys
 activity recorded by a 10x10 Utah multielectrode array
 monkeys are performing a reach-to-grasp task
 from 100 to 150 neurons recorded in parallel
 Task:
- Reach an object and grasp it with side grip (SG) or precision grip (PG)
- Pull the object using high force (HF) or low force (LF) and hold it in a fixed position for 500ms
- Visual cues inform the animal about the grip and force



Scheme of the experimental paradigm. Figure from [8]

References

- [1] Hebb D. (1949) The organization of behavior, Wiley and Sons
- [2] Singer W., Engel A. K., Munk M. H. J., Neuenschwander S., Roelfsema P.R. (1997), Trends in Cognitive Sciences
- [3] Harris K. (2005), Nature Reviews Neuroscience

Raster plot of one specific pattern of size 3 detected during the selected trial type and epoch (movement, PGHF) for monkey N. Pattern occurrences are aligned to the first spike of the pattern. Spikes belonging to the pattern are marked in red. Different colored bands represent the pattern occurrence within one trial. Trials are ordered along the y-axis.

Spike count time histograms across the movement epoch for cases of A) spike belonging only to patterns, B) all spikes of neurons involved in patterns, C) all spikes from all neurons for session i140627-001.



[4] Quaglio P., Rostami V., Torre E., Grün S. (2018), Biological Cybernetics
[5] Torre E., Picado-Muino D., Denker M., Borgelt C., Grün S. (2013), Frontiers in Computational Neuro-science
[6] Quaglio P., Yegenoglu A., Torre E., Endres D.M., Grün S. (2017), Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience

[7] Riehle A., Wirtssohn S., Grün S., Brochier T. (2013), Frontiers in Neural Circuits
[8] Torre E., Quaglio P., Denker M., Brochier T., Riehle A., Grün S. (2016), Journal of Neuroscience
[9] Brochier T., Zehl L., Hao Y., Duret M., Sprenger J., Denker M., Grün S., Riehle A. (2018), Scientific data
[9] Quaglio P., Stella A., Torre E., Grün S. (2019), Under revision

Acknowledgments: The project is funded by the Helmholtz Association Initiative and Networking Fund under project number ZT-I-0003, by Human Brain Project HBP Grant No. 785907 (SHA2), and by RTG2416 MultiSenses-MultiScales (DFG).